רָאָם re'ēm; רָאָים rêm; רָאָים re'êm

Semitic. II. Ancient Hebrew: 1. OT; 2. Other Texts. III. Versions.

I. Semitic Equivalents. The Hebrew primary noun r^{e} $\bar{e}m$ derives from the Common Semitic qitl form *ri'm, as is shown by the occasional Akkadian writing ri'-mu1 and

I. Semitic Equivalents: 1. Akkadian and Sumerian; 2. Ugaritic; 3. Old Aramaic; 4. South

Arab. rim^{un} (alongside $r\bar{i}m^{un}$). Metathesis of 'and *i in nouns with 'as the second radical is common.² A feminine form appears only in Akkadian: $r\bar{\imath}mu(m)$ vs. $r\bar{\imath}mtu(m)$.³ The

Heb. fem. PN re'ûmâ in Gen. 22:24 is unrelated,4 although there may be a connection with the form chosen by the LXX^L recension, along with the scribal error Pεηρα in LXX^A. Also unrelated to r^{e} ' $\bar{e}m$ are $r\bar{a}$ ' $m\hat{o}t$ (I), "corrals(?)," and the verbal form $w^{e}r\bar{a}^{*a}m\hat{a}$,

"will be high," in Zec. 14:10b (cf. r'm in KAI, 279.3, which Donner reads as the masc. sg. act. qal ptcp. of rûm, with 'as mater lectionis).5 We have no information about the etymology of the toponym rā'môt (II) associated with many geographical sites.

1. Akkadian and Sumerian. Akk. rīmu(m) I (Assyr. rēmu II) and the fem. rīmtu(m) have been identified since Hilzheimer as meaning "wild ox, aurochs" (Bos primigenius).6 The Sumerian equivalent am has the same meaning.7 The aurochs, the ancestor of the domestic ox, was wild game, hunted especially by the Neo-Assyrian kings;8 by contrast, the arna or wild water buffalo (Bubalis arnee; domestic species: Bos bubalis), which many identify with Heb. re'em and is clearly meant by Akk. apsasû

< Sum. abzaza, was not native to Mesopotamia, but was known only as an import from the Indus region from the time of Sargon I to approximately the time of Šusin.9 The re'em. E. Bilik, "The Re'em," BethM 54 (1972/73) 382-86; B. Clark, "The Biblical Oryx," BAR 10/5 (1984) 66-70; M. Dahood, "Hebrew-Ugaritic Lexicography X," Bibl 53 (1972) 386-403, esp. 389; Y. Felix, "Ox, Oryx, Bull," Leš 44 (1979/80) 124-36; A. H. Godbey, "The Unicorn in the OT," AJSL 56 (1939) 256-96; J. J. Hess, "Beduinisches zum Alten und Neuen Testament," ZAW 35 (1915) 120-31, esp. 121-23; J. W. Klotz, "The Lion and the Unicorn," Concordia Journal 5/6 (1979) 213-15; G. Rausing, "The Ancestry of the Unicorn," Meddelanden från Lunds

Universitets Historiska Museum 1971-1972 (London, 1973) 188-97; A. Salonen, Jagd und

Jagdtiere im alten Mesopotamien, AnAcScFen B/196 (1976).

MSL, VIII/2, 74:49.

^{2.} BLe, §61c". 3. On the absence of endings in the Hebrew names for animals, see Michel, I, 74.

^{4.} See I.2 below. 5. KAI, II, 336. M. Hilzheimer, Die Wildrinder im alten Mesopotamien. MAOG II/1 (1926); B. Landsberger,

Die Fauna im alten Mesopotamien. ASAW 42/6 (1934), esp. 89; AHw, II, 986; Salonen, 247-50. 7. Salonen, 167-74; on the figurative usage of am in literature, see W. Heimpel, Tierbilder in der sumerischen Literatur (Rome, 1968), 79-121.

J. Clutton-Brock, Sumer 36 (1980) 37-41; W. Heimpel, RLA, V, 234-35.

R. M. Boehmer, ZA 64 (1975) 1-19.

244

lexical entry am.gub.ba, etc. = qar-na-nu, "having large horns," as well as the examples in Heimpel; also Dt. 33:1710), serve as epithets for gods and kings. 11 A temple may be called bît rīm mātātim, "house of the wild ox of the lands [viz., Enlil]" or simply rīmum, "wild ox." In Sumerian a temple can be compared to an am, "wild ox." 13

terms for the impressive and dangerous wild ox, with its long, menacing horns (cf. the

H.-P. Müller

2. Ugaritic. The model of Sem. *ri'm indicates that Ugar. r'm should be vocalized as ri'mu, with 'representing syllable-ending aleph. Earlier interpretations suggesting that

r'm has u as a thematic vowel (ru'mu or ru'-maum, 14 corresponding to Masoretic reûmâ in Gen. 22:24) may be considered outmoded. Ugar. r'm also means "wild ox";15 here too "water buffalo" is impossible.16 The

wild ox was common in ancient Syria; it was considered the embodiment of unbridled strength, and was often hunted.17 One text speaks of "a bowl . . . on which are (de-

picted) myriads of wild oxen," recalling a Late Bronze gold bowl from Ugarit, depicting a royal hunt for wild oxen and antelopes.18 Mythological texts use the word r'm with some frequency. The cow of the goddess 'Anat "bore a bull ('br) for Baal, a wild ox (r'm) for the Cloud Rider." Baal hunted

these animals "on the shores of Šmk, which is full of wild oxen."20 After Baal's death, *Anat sacrifices seventy wild oxen, as well as many other wild and domestic animals.21 "The strongest sinews of a wild ox" are employed to make a bow for 'Anat.22 Along with other dangerous animals, "wild oxen" serve as metaphors for the battling gods Mot and Baal.²³ To describe Mot's appetite, another text speaks of "a pond to which

wild oxen (long to) come, a spring at which hinds ('ylt) long to arrive."24 J. Tropper - H.-P. Müller

 See MSL, VIII/2, 10:50a-52; Heimpel, Tierbilder, 81-97, 103, 121. See also II.1 below. 11. For Sum. am see Salonen, 168ff.; for Akk. rīmu(m)/rīmtu(m) see K. L. Tallqvist,

16l; AHw, II, 986.

Akkadische Götter-Epitheta (Helsinki, 1938), 165-66; AHw, II, 986; Salonen, 248ff. For royal epithets see Seux, 250, 386; AHw, II, 986; Salonen. 12. For the former see Altorientalische Bibliothek, 22, III:7; for the latter see RA, 64, 95:5,

Heimpel, Tierbilder, 83-87. 14. For the former see Z. S. Harris, JAOS 57 (1937) 151; for the latter see H. L. Ginsberg, Or

^{5 (1936) 185;} D. Marcus, JANES 1 (1968) 54 n. 45. 15. J. C. de Moor, Seasonal Patterns in the Ugaritic Myth of Ba'lu. AOAT 16 (1971), 199.

^{16.} Cf. H. L. Ginsberg, JANES 5 (1973) 131 n. 4.

^{17.} KTU, 1.10, II, 9, 12.

KTU, 1.4, I, 41-43; for the bowl see Syr 15 (1934) pl. 16, reproduced in BRL², 151. KTU, 1.10, III, 21(36).

KTU, 1.10, II, 9, 12; on the construction see E. Verreet, UF 18 (1986) 381-82.

^{21.} KTU, 1.6, I, 19. 22. KTU, 1.17, VI, 21.

KTU, 1.6, VI, 18.

^{24.} KTU, 1.5, I, 17; cf. 1.133, 7. On the translation see Verreet, 381.

3. Old Aramaic. In the third line of the Old Aramaic inscription of King Aśoka (268-233 B.C.E.), from Kandahar (Afghanistan), the word r'm is disputed both textually and semantically.²⁵ Textually clear but semantically obscure is the expression br'mn 2

(I), "a kind of antelope (?), bison (?)," in Dt. 14:5.

pthn in the ledger of a Jewish merchant in early Hellenistic Egypt. 26 In 11QtgJob 39:9-10 Heb. re'em is represented by r'm. The word wrym['] in Aram. En. 89:6 is discussed elsewhere.²⁷ The Syrian version uses Syr. raymā' not only for re'ēm, but also for dîšôn

Hess suggests that a semantic shift from "wild ox" to "gazelle" (!) is occasioned by the fact that both are white. In Ethiopic (Geez) re im/re em means "wild bull, rhinoceros, unicorn."29 Hommel is probably correct in calling the form a borrowing from Hebrew, especially in light of the phonetic variant re em; the borrowed meaning would support

4. South Semitic. The meaning of Arab. ri'mun/rīmun, "white antelope (Antilope leucoryx),"28 is purely metonymic and has no bearing on Hebrew usage. For example,

II. Ancient Hebrew. For Heb. $r^{e}\bar{e}m$, too, the exegetical evidence suggests "wild ox" as the most likely meaning.31 The theory (based on Arabic) that it means "white antelope" overlooks the fact that lexical equivalents can have different meanings in individual languages.32 It is true that the more slender animal, like the wild ox, was hunted by kings;33 but — especially because there are other Hebrew terms for various species of antelope — it would be unsuitable in the paradox of Job 39:9-12 and proba-

bly also as representative of a hostile world in Isa. 34:7 and Ps. 22:22(21). The water buffalo and bison, unlike the wild ox, had probably vanished from Syria too long before the 1st millennium to be a vivid memory.³⁴ In a positive sense, $r^{e} \bar{e} m$ symbolizes laudable strength (Nu. 23:22; 24:8; Dt. 33:17; Ps. 92:11[10]; 1 En. 90:38 cj.); representing hostile powers, it has negative valence (Isa. 34:7; Ps. 22:22[21]; Job 39:9-12);

the occurrences in Ps. 29:6 and Aram. En. 89:6 are neutral. 1. OT. In the OT comparison to a $r^{e}\bar{e}m$ — like all animal comparisons — appears first in sayings characterizing tribes or nations. In Dt. 33:17a, a predicative clause that has no counterpart in the Joseph saying in Gen. 49:22-26, the subject of the predication

25. KAI, 279. 26. AP, 81.110.

this theory.30

K. Budde.

See II.2 below.

^{28.} Lane, I/3, 1204. W. Leslau, Comparative Dictionary of Ge'ez (Wiesbaden, 1987), 458. 30. F. Hommel, Die Namen der Säugthiere bei den südsemitischen Völkern (Leipzig, 1879), 367.

^{31.} GesB, 736-37; BDB, 910; König, 426; KBL², 864-65; KBL³. 32. Contra S. Bochart, Hierozoicon (London, 1683); cf. F. S. Bodenheimer, Animal and Man in Bible Lands (Leiden, 1960), 53.

See I.1 above and the bowl from Ugarit described in I.2 above.

^{34.} On the water buffalo see GesTh, III, 1248-49; but cf. I.1 above. On the bison see

246 ראָם reem

are the horns of a re'em,36 with which he gores "(the) peoples" and "the ends of the earth" (the goring wild ox is common metaphor in Sumerian literature37). In this metaphorical language with overtones of magic, both the domestic ox (\tilde{sor}) and its wild counterpart (re'em) portray the tribe's invincibility in battle.38

The first of the two older Balaam oracles over Jacob/Israel (Nu. 24:8a) contains the expression ketô apôt re em lô. Here re em serves either as a predicate of Jacob/Israel ("he

— the tribe of Joseph (?) or Ephraim (?)35 — is called a "firstborn bull," whose horns

[EI] has as it were the horns of the wild ox")³⁹ or as a metaphor for EI, who here brings Israel out of Egypt ("like the horns of a $r^e \bar{e}m$ is he [El] to him [Jacob/Israel]").⁴⁰ The latter interpretation recalls Akk. $r\bar{t}mu(m)/r\bar{t}mtu(m)$ used as a divine epithet and Ugar. r'm as a metaphor for deities. In either case it is Jacob/Israel on whom — as in Dt.

33:17a — the strength of the $r^e = m$ bestows superiority in battle over "(the) nations that are his foes." Nu. 24:8a is incorporated word for word in the second of the two later Balaam oracles (23:22). There is no reason to doubt that in Dt. 33:17a; Nu. 24:8; 23:22 r^{e} em, like Akk. $r\bar{i}mu(m)$ and Ugar. r'm, refers to the aggressive wild ox, characterized in Akkadian texts as kadru, "proud, impetuous."41 The noun $r^e \bar{e}m$ occurs three times in the Psalms; here the usage is less specific. In the context of a hymn, Ps. 29:6 describes the storm god Yahweh as making Lebanon skip "like a calf" and Sirion "like a young wild ox" (kemô ben-re'ēmîm); cf. Akk.

 $r\bar{i}mu(m)$ as an epithet of Ellil and Ugar. r'm in connection with Baal.⁴² The mention of wild oxen in connection with Lebanon and Sirion may be due to the presence of these animals there; the Assyrian king Ashur-bel-kala hunted wild oxen in regions as distant as Lebanon.43 Ps. 92:11a(10a) is a narrative clause in a hymnic psalm of thanksgiving; it both expresses and explains the speaker's trust, using the singular metaphor "my horn" to refer to the psalmist so as to add the vivid kir'em, "like (that of) the wild ox." In individual

laments and thanksgivings, the "enemies," whom we met already in Nu. 24:8 and Dt. 33:17, are now the unspecified enemies of the devout individual and of Yahweh (vv. 10,12[9,11]). In Ps. 22:22b(21b), an individual lament, the rēmîm follow the dog (v. 21b[20b]) and the lion (v. 22a[21a]), representing the forces that threaten the psalmist, who prays for God's defense against these hostile powers, which are introduced by the catchword

"sword" (v. 21a[20a]).

43. W. Heimpel, RLA, V, 234.

H.-J. Zobel, Stammesspruch und Geschichte. BZAW 95 (1965), 37. See I.1 above. See the examples in Heimpel, Tierbilder, 97-101, 104-5.

^{38.} On the juxtaposition of sôr and r'em cf. Ugar. r'm in KTU, 1.17, VI, 21 and 23; see

M. Dahood, RSP, I, 334, no. 508.

M. Noth, Numbers. OTL (Eng. trans. 1968), 170.

Cf. NRSV and many other versions. 41. MSL, VIII/2, 10:50a (see I.1 above); AHw, I, 419; CAD, K, 32; for Sumerian parallels see

Heimpel, Tierbilder, 104-7. For the Akkadian see Tallqvist, Götter-Epitheta, 166; for the Ugaritic see I.2 above.

Isa. 34:7 and Job 39:9-12 name r^{e} $\bar{e}m\hat{u}m$ or r^{e} $\bar{e}m$ along with other impressive and dangerous animals as representatives of a hostile world. In Isa, 34:7 this symbol of menacing power together with domestic cattle (whose mention is redundant) will become a helpless sacrifice to Yahweh on his eschatological day of slaughter, with Edom as the primary target. The paradox asserted in Job 39:9-12 centers on the notion that the wild ox does not behave like its domestic counterpart, the ox; in the context of

God's reply to Job, the passage suggests that God can tame the representative of a hos-

tile world.44 2. Other Texts. The reading btw'pwt r'm instead of btw'pwt tw'r in Sir. 45:7 (B) reflects a textual corruption based on Nu. 23:22 and 24:8: the resemblance of Jacob/Is-

rael to a wild ox is transferred to Aaron. In a visionary anticipation of the deluge, Aram. Enoch (= 4QEne) 89:6 describes

Noah's ship "sailing over the surface of the water and all cattle . . . elephants, and wild oxe[n] (wrymy[])." In 1 En. 90:38 nagar, "word," makes no sense in the context; one proposal is to substitute Heb. r'm, "which the Greek transcribed as ἡῆμ, read by the Ethiopic as $\dot{p}\eta\mu = nagar$."45 This $r^{e}\bar{e}m$ may be identified as one of two messianic fig-

ures.46 III. Versions. The LXX translates re'em as monókeros everywhere except Isa. 34:7. where it uses hoi hadroí, "the strong"; the Vg. uses unicornis (Isa. 34:7; Ps. 21[22]:22; 28[29]:6 iuxta LXX; 91[92]:11 iuxta LXX) or monoceros (Ps. 91[92]:11). The source

of this translation is disputed. It might have been suggested by (Babylonian) profile images of the wild ox,47 natural examples like the rhinoceros (note rhinókerōs in A and a héteros48 in Job 39:9, rinoceros in Vg. of Nu. 23:22; 24:8; Dt. 33:17; Job 39:9-10; Ps. 28[29]:6 Psalmi iuxta Hebraicum, and in Saadia's discussion of Job 39:9), fantastic imagery deriving from Hellenistic speculation, 49 or even Dnl. 8:5ff. The AV translation "unicorn" derives from LXX.

O. Keel, Jahwes Entgegnung an Ijob. FRLANT 121 (1978), 63ff.; H.-P. Müller, BZ 32

H.-P. Müller

^{(1988) 210-31,} esp. 218-19. S. Uhlig, JSHRZ V/6, 704, following others.

^{46.} M. A. Knibb, Ethiopic Book of Enoch, 2 vols. (Oxford, 1978), 2:216. 47. Hess, 121.

^{48.} J. Ziegler, *Iob* (1982), 392. B. Reicke, BHHW, III, 2173-74.